

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

DCI Representation on NDPC

FROM: [REDACTED]
 Deputy Director of Security (P&M)
 4E-60 Hqs.

EXTENSION

NO. OS 8 1268/2

DATE 24 October 1978

STATI

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.	DD/Security	24 OCT 1978	25 OCT 1978	SS
2.				
3.	D/Security	25 OCT 1978		✓
4.	DD/S		30 OCT 1978	SS
5.	D/S	31 OCT 1978		
6.				
7.	4 to 5			
8.	SS says			
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				

I recommend that the D/Sec sign the attached and forward to the DDA for the latter's approval. I am cognizant of the C/PPG negative reaction to this approach (see pink buck slip below). As an ex-NDPC representative, I see the function as one the Office of Security can afford to give up without rendering any harm to national security, vital Agency interests, or the Office of Security's image in the Community. The NDPC account will be as well served by NFAC as by an OS rep. Perhaps even better served. The Office will still be available to provide an occasional traveling survey officer where that need exists and a petition made to us. We keep talking about cutting functions so that we can better attend to our vital accounts. Here's a golden opportunity to practice what we preach.

WRK

This is one function I believe we can cut w/o out harm - SS

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

DCI Representation on NDPC

FROM: [REDACTED] C/PPG
EXTENSION: [REDACTED]
NO.:
DATE: 24 Oct 1978

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)
DATE: RECEIVED FORWARDED
OFFICER'S INITIALS
COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.	DD/P&M	24 OCT 1978			<p>As I indicated during the earlier iteration of this proposal, I have serious reservations about our relinquishing responsibility for providing the DCI membership on NDPC. I recognize that the principal input from the Agency in NDPC matters comes from NFAC and that both the DDO and DDS&T have significant equities in NDPC discussions. Fundamentally, I believe that all four Directorates have a piece of the action. My reservations are based on a feeling, hard to define, that NFAC is "making a play" on this issue and that recent experience in coordinating NDPC matters within the Agency has shown a certain lack of polish in the manner NFAC has addressed NDPC issues. While not quarreling with the argument made in the attached, I hate to take a chance on disturbing an equilibrium that for the past three years at least has served the NDPC, the Agency, and the DCI extremely well.</p> <p>Furthermore, I am troubled by the idea of making such a significant transfer of functional responsibility through a bilateral NFAC/DDA agreement; at the very least the issue should be raised at the EAG level and the final decision made by the DDCI or the DCI himself.</p> <p><i>DB</i></p>
2.	DD/Sec				
3.	D/Sec				
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					